For the Northern District of California

1				
2				
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
4	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
6				
7	JUAN VARGAS AND HILDA VARGAS,			
8	Plaintiffs,			
9	v.	No. C	C 12-02008 WHA	
10	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., aka WACHOVIA			
11	MORTGAGE, A DIVISION OF WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. AND F/K/A WACHOVIA MORTGAGE			
12	FSB, FORMERLY KNOWN AS WORLD SAVINGS BANK, FSB, AS BENEFICIARY; CAL-WESTERN		TICE RE	
13	RECONVEYANCE CORPORATION, a CALIFORNIA CORPORATION and all persons	ORA	L ARGUMENT	
14	claiming by, through, or under such entities or persons; and all persons unknown, claiming any legal or			
15	equitable right, title, estate, lien, or interest in the real property described in the complaint adverse to Plaintiffs			
16	title thereto, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,			
17	Defendants/			
18				
19	After reviewing the written submissions, the Court is of the view that oral argument is			
20	unnecessary and will proceed to issue an order soon and to vacate the hearing set for January 17			
21	If, however, one counsel advises the Court in writing by NOON ON JANUARY 7 that most or all o			
22	the argument for its side will be conducted by a lawyer of four or fewer years out of law school			
23	(see Supplemental Order, dkt. no. 3 at ¶ 6), then the hearing will remain in place in order to			
24	provide that opportunity.			
25				
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	1.09	Alme	

Dated: January 2, 2013.

27

28

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE